Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/845,743	FEIST ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M Bernatz	1773
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Kevin M Bernatz</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Pamela Curbelo</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 February 2005	Time: <u>AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 100, 110, 141		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		`
•		
•		
Min M. R.B. 2/2/08		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that claims 100 and 110 appeared to have improper dependencies and claim 114 was required to recite the requisit subject matter to overcome the Landin et al. structure. Applicants agreed to the proposed changes (see attached Examiner's amendment)..